

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 HOLLI LUNDAHL,
11 *Petitioner,*
12 vs.
13 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
14 UNITED STATES,
15 *Respondent.*

2:07-cv-01449-KJD-LRL

ORDER

16
17 This matter comes before the Court for initial review pursuant to Rule 1(b) and Rule
18 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

19 According to the allegations of the petition, petitioner Holli Lundahl is in custody in Utah
20 and is facing two pending federal criminal prosecutions in the District of Utah. Lundahl seeks
21 “a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255,” special relief under the “Larson-
22 Dugan Doctrine,” and a mandatory injunction under the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, with
23 all such requests for relief seeking a release from custody and a halt to the pending federal
24 criminal proceedings in Utah. The law is well-established that jurisdiction over a federal
25 habeas petition challenging present physical confinement exists only within the federal district
26 where the petitioner is confined. See, e.g., *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426, 443-47, 124
27 S.Ct. 2711, 2722-25, 159 L.Ed.2d 513 (2004). Petitioner is not in custody in this district, and
28 this Court accordingly lacks jurisdiction over the petition for habeas relief, whether under 28

1 U.S.C. § 2241 or instead under § 2255. This Court otherwise does not have jurisdiction,
2 appellate or otherwise, over proceedings in the coequal federal district court in the District of
3 Utah. This Court has no jurisdiction to issue orders directed to proceedings in that court,
4 whether in habeas corpus, under any “Larson-Dugan Doctrine,” or under the All Writs Act.

5 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice for lack
6 of jurisdiction over the subject matter.

7 The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly.

8 DATED: November 15, 2007

9
10 

11
12

Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28